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At the core of all writing center work is the tutoring, the day-to-day work of engaging with students, faculty, and their work. Laura Greenfield’s *Radical Writing Center Praxis: A Paradigm for Ethical Political Engagement* addresses this question through a political lens. Taking part of her inspiration from Jackie Grutsch McKinney’s *Peripheral Visions for Writing Centers*, Greenfield considers, reconsiders, and ultimately dismisses out of hand both the grand narratives we tell ourselves about what we do in writing centers as well as the actual things we do. In short, she calls for a paradigm shift, away from the grand narratives and the current practices within those narratives. She does not suggest we change our narratives to match our practice; indeed, she asks for nothing less than to redefine the field of writing center studies altogether (4-5).

Greenfield’s argument rests on two important suppositions, that there are, in general, two categories of writing centers currently. First, the conservative writing center assumes that the hegemonic power structures within higher education are right and good. A conservative writing center encourages students to submit to the standards of a given writing assignment, for instance, and to do so using standard written English (38-42). Secondly, a liberal writing center looks better according to Greenfield, but is in fact caught up in the same political forces as the
conservative system. It fails to actually address the injustices within hegemonic power structures because its core value is a form of relativism. The liberal writing center narrative is committed to the notion that all ideas are equal, even if it tends to promote an ideology that some are more equal than others. The liberal center does not give tutors space to take their own ethical stands (44-49). Rather than either of these two paradigms, Greenfield suggests a radical one.

Greenfield’s radical paradigm is one that relies on an “ethics of love, hope, resistance, justice, liberation, and peace” (59). Like a liberal or conservative writing center, a radical one engages with and responds to power. The radical center, however, negotiates with power structures, that they “should be considered dialectically” (64). A radical writing center sees power “as something to be exercised rather than possessed” (65). Such a center employs a model that recognizes the individuality of both a tutor and a student, and the work of the center is to create dialogue. Dialogue is, in fact, the “crux of pedagogy” in a radical writing center (71). She offers a “radical praxis,” that truly engages with the systemic sexism, racism, homophobia, ableism, classism, among other injustices, that affect our centers (116-121).

Greenfield is the Director of the Transformative Speaking Program at Hampshire College, and is also the co-author of the award-winning Writing Centers and the New Racism: A Call for Sustainable Dialogue and Change. Her work has long focused on the kinds of issues addressed in Radical Writing Center Praxis. It is, in many ways, an extension of Writing Centers and the New Racism, taking up its titular call and expanding it. Her writing is consistently couched in this ethic of social justice, as is her work as an administrator (which she happens to address in the text, too).

Generally, the book’s chapters address each of the dominant narratives, conservative and liberal, and then explain how and why the field should follow a radical model. The first chapter defines the liberal and conservative narratives and demonstrates their flaws. The second defines a radical politics as the alternative to the others. The third, fourth, and fifth each show specific failings in the liberal and conservative models. The chapters then move to an outline of the ways a radical approach would change writing centers. Chapter three, “Making a Better World: Rearticulating a Raison d’Être for Writing Centers,” especially,
discusses an entirely new vision for writing centers through the lens of justice and peace (87). Greenfield relies on an example from one of her own tutors to explain one way this might work. The details of the example are less important here than the ultimate point: a writing center director must rely on the same radical dialogue they ask their tutors to trust in. Doing so forces a writing center administrator to see the ways in which power structures affect tutors and, in turn, student writers. Chapters four and five continue in a similar vein, while adding more examples to demonstrate the practice of a radical writing center.

The book’s strengths are too numerous to list here, but one of them is Greenfield’s discussion of what she calls “resonance.” Resonance for a writing center comes from sharing space with others in a community, and offering to listen to others. Stories are shared between those “in” the writing center and those “outside” it, partly to show that this is a false binary in the first place. But more than that, it gives writing centers an opportunity to hear from their own communities and grow and change in the most beneficial ways. She calls these kinds of meetings “culture-building,” wherein two groups of people come together with the aim of learning from one another.

Readers who are sympathetic to Greenfield’s arguments may find that their approach to writing center work will be fundamentally altered for the better by Radical Writing Center Praxis. However, because she assumes her readers will agree with her, some may dismiss her thesis as quickly as she dismisses liberal and conservative models. She does not consider a middle path, either, between a radical center and a liberal one, which may be as far as some writing center directors are able to go, given certain institutional and personal constraints. A middle path could be forged, though Greenfield may call such a path a half-measure. That said, the book’s title is Radical Writing Center Praxis, so its likely audience is coming from relatively far left. For Greenfield, a radical writing center aims to do more than help writers (rather than writing, as the community often says). The goal is to create a more just, equitable world.
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